



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/326,258 | 06/04/1999 | Damion L. Hankejh | SESSIO.P01 | 3976 | |
| 75 | 590 07/30/2002 | | | | |
| Patrick M Dwyer PC | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 1818 Westlake Avenue N Suite 114 | | | VU, VIET DUY | | |
| Seattle, WA 98 | 8109 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2154 | 10 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/30/2002 | 1 1 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.







Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/326,258

Applicant(s)

Examiner Viet Vu Art Unit 2154

Hankejh et al

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | for Reply | | _ | | | |
| | A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | | | |
| - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the | | | | | | |
| - | g date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th | ne statutory minimum | of thirty (3 | 0) days will be considered timely. | | |
| - | period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th | • | | , | | |
| | ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | his communication, ev | en if timely | y filed, may reduce any | | |
| Status | , | | | | | |
| | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jun 11, 20</u> | 2002 | | | | |
| 2a) 💢 | This action is (INA). 2b) ☐ This action | ion is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) 🗆 | Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex pai</i> | | | | | |
| Disposi | ition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> | | | is/are pending in the application. | | |
| 4 | a) Of the above, claim(s) | | | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| 5) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | | is/are allowed. | | |
| 6) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> | | | is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | | is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) 🗆 | Claims | are | subject | to restriction and/or election requirement. | | |
| Applica | ation Papers | | | | | |
| 9) 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)□ | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | ; a) 🗆 accepte | d or b) | \square objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the d | Irawing(s) be hel | ld in abe | eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: | a) 🗌 a | approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t | to this Office ac | tion. | | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami | iner. | | | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13)□ | 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| • | 2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have | re been receive | d in App | plication No | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| | *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| _ | 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | | | |
| a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachm | | | (DT. | | | |
| | otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | | O-413) Paper No(s) | | |
| | 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)6) Other: | | | | | |
| | Similation Disclosure Statement(s) (F10-1449) Paper No(s). | 6) Cther: | | | | |



DETAILED ACTION

Art Rejections:

- 1. The text of 35 USC 102(e) not cited here can be found in the previous office action.
- 2. The rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. \$102(e) as being clearly anticipated by England, U.S. pat. no. 6,144,991, paper #16, mailed 1/10/02, is hereby incorporated by reference.

Response to Amendment:

3. The declaration filed on 6/11/02 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the '991 reference (England).

The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a conception of the invention prior to the effective date of the reference. While conception is the mental part of the inventive act, it must be capable of proof, such as by demonstrative evidence or by a complete disclosure to another. Conception is more than a vague idea of how to solve a problem. The requisite means themselves and their interaction must also be comprehended. See Mergenthaler v. Scudder, 1897 C.D. 724, 81 O.G. 1417 (D.C. Cir. 1897). Applicant fails to provide any documentary evidence and exhibits, e.g., sketches, notebook entries, witnesses, etc., to



support the alleged conception date of the invention in the early of 1996. See MPEP 715.07.

The evidence submitted is also insufficient to establish diligence from a date prior to the date of reduction to practice of the '991 reference to either a constructive reduction to practice or an actual reduction to practice. Applicant fails to produce any evidence of facts to establish diligence.

The evidence submitted is also insufficient to establish applicant's alleged actual reduction to practice of the invention in this country or a NAFTA or WTO member country prior to the effective date of the '991 reference. Again there is no documentary evidence provided other than a mere statement from the inventor. See MPEP 2138.04-2138.06 for further discussion of the conception, diligence and reduce to practice.

Conclusion:

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. \$ 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR

Serial No. 09/326,258

RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

- 5. The references cited by the examiner on PTO-892 are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is $(703)\ 305-9597$. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An, can be reached on (703) 305-9678.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Tubo on

Art Unit 2154 7/23/02